



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

510-B Pioneer Street | PO Box 608 | Ridgefield, WA 98642
360.887.3908 | Fax: 360.887.2507 | www.ridgefieldwa.us

NOTICE OF SEPA THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DNS) REVIEW

Overlook Park SEPA

File No. PLZ-23-0011 (Master-23-0007)

File Name: PLZ-23-0011 – Overlook Park SEPA

Date Published: March 15, 2023

Today's Date: March 15, 2023

Attached is an environmental **Determination of Non-significance (DNS)** and associated environmental checklist issued pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Rules (Chapter 197-11, Washington Administrative Code). The enclosed review comments reflect evaluation of the environmental checklist by the lead agency, the City of Ridgefield, as required by WAC 197-11. You may comment on this determination within fourteen (14) days of the DNS publication date of March 15, 2023. The lead agency will not act on the SEPA DNS until the close of the 14-day **comment period which ends at 5:00 PM on March 29, 2023**

Please address any correspondence to: Ridgefield Community Development Dept.
RE: SEPA – PLZ-23-0011 Overlook Park SEPA
P.O. Box 608
Ridgefield, WA 98642

DISTRIBUTION:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Ridgefield, WA
U.S. Postmaster – Ridgefield, WA
WA Department of Commerce, SEPA Review
WA Department of Ecology, SEPA/GMA Coordinator
WA Department of Fish and Wildlife, SEPA Review
WA Department of Natural Resources – Olympia
WA Department of Natural Resources – Castle Rock
WA DOT, SW Region, SEPA Review
WA DOH, SW Region, SEPA Review
WA Office of Archaeology & Historic Preservation

C-Tran – Environmental Review
Clark County Dept. of Community Development
Clark County Environmental Public Health
Clark County Public Works
Clark County Public Utilities – SEPA Coordinator
Clark County Fire & Rescue
Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board
Port of Ridgefield
Ridgefield Junction Association
Ridgefield Police Department
Ridgefield Public Library
Ridgefield School District # 122 – SEPA Review
Cowlitz Tribe – SEPA Review
Yakima Tribe – SEPA Review
Chinook Tribe – SEPA Review
The Columbian
Comcast – SEPA Review
Grey & Osborne – SEPA Review
NW Natural Gas – SEPA Review
Qwest Communications – SEPA Review
SW Clean Air Agency – SEPA Review
Clark Regional Wastewater District – SEPA Review



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

510-B Pioneer Street | PO Box 608 | Ridgefield, WA 98642
360.887.3908 | Fax: 360.887.2507 | www.ridgefieldwa.us

NOTICE OF SEPA THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DNS) REVIEW

Overlook Park SEPA

File No. PLZ-23-0011 (Master-23-0007)

Date: March 15, 2023

Proponent: PBS Engineering and Environmental Inc.

Description of Proposal: SEPA review for the Overlook Park Splash Pad Project which proposes a public fountain surrounded by a concrete splash pad to capture and recirculate the fountain's water. The project will create a stairway and path to the splash pad area, repair existing landscape areas, remove bark, and add rock mulch, and create a bermed lawn.

Location: 101 S Main Ave / Ridgefield, WA 98642
Clark County PIN 68800000, Ridgefield Lot 1 Blk 1, 0.11A
68812000, Ridgefield Lots 8 & 9 Blk 1, 0.3A
68802000, Ridgefield Lot 2 BLK 1, 0.11A
68804000, Ridgefield Lots 3 & 4 Blk 1, 0.23A

Project Proponent & Contact Persons:

Applicant's Representative: PBS Engineering and Environmental Inc.
1325 SE Tech Center Drive, Suite 140 / Vancouver, WA 98683
Contact: Rebecca Wahlstrom, 360.695.3488, rebecca.wahlstrom@pbsusa.com

Applicant: City of Ridgefield
487 S 56th Place / Ridgefield, WA 98642
Contact: Galina Burley, 360.887.8251, galina.burley@ridgefieldwa.us

Property owner(s): City of Ridgefield
510-B Pioneer Street / Ridgefield, WA 98642
Contact: Steve Stuart, 360.887.3908, steve.stuart@ridgefieldwa.us

Lead Agency: The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment as a result of compliance with adopted City standards, including compliance with grading and erosion control standards, light trespass standards, critical areas standards to protect and mitigate impacts to wetlands, and engineering standards to address stormwater management. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist, the

proposed plan document, and other information on file with the lead agency. Information is available to the public on request at Ridgefield City Hall during normal business hours.

This **DNS** is issued under WAC 197-11. The lead agency shall not act on this DNS for a minimum of 14 days from the date of issuance. Comments on the **DNS** must be submitted to the responsible official c/o the Ridgefield City Clerk by **5:00 PM on March 29, 2023.**

Responsible official: Shana Lazzarini
Position/title: Planner II
Phone: 360.887.6007
Email: shana.lazzarini@ridgefieldwa.us
Address: P.O. Box 608, 510-B Pioneer St
Ridgefield, WA 98642

Signature:



Claire Lust, Community Development Director

Issued: March 15, 2023

Appeal: An appeal of the City's SEPA Threshold Determination must be submitted to the Community Development Department within fourteen (14) calendar days after the date issued. The appeal must be written and make specific factual objections to the City's threshold determination. Appeals shall be conducted in conformance with RDC 18.810.205 (Appeals) and any required fees pursuant to RDC 18.810.205.F shall be paid at time of appeal submittal.



SEPA Environmental Checklist

PBS Project 71708.001

Client: City of Ridgefield

Purpose of Checklist

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization, or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for Applicants

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. *You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown.* You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) process as well as later in the decision making process.

The checklist questions apply to *all parts of your proposal*, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of Checklist for Nonproject Proposals

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans, and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the Supplemental Sheet for Nonproject Actions (Part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for nonprojects) questions in Part B—Environmental Elements—that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

Background

- a. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
Overlook Park Splash Pad

- b. Name of applicant:
City of Ridgefield
- c. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
City of Ridgefield, Public Works Department
487 S. 56th Place
PO Box 608
Ridgefield, Washington 98642
- d. Date checklist prepared:
March 2023
- e. Agency requesting checklist:
City of Ridgefield
- f. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
Spring 2023
- g. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
There are no plans to expand further at this time.
- h. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
A memo has been prepared by PBS Engineering and Environmental Inc regarding the Oregon White Oak Priority Habitat, June 16, 2022.
- i. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
No, there are no other known projects currently seeking government approval that would directly affect this project.
- j. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.
SEPA Checklist Approval, Grading Permits, DAHP permit
- k. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The applicant proposes to construct a splash pad for community use as an extension of the existing Overlook Park.
- l. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit

any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The project is located in the SE 1/4 of Section 24, Township 4 North, Range 1 West, W.M. within the city of Ridgefield. The project address is 101 S Main Avenue, Ridgefield, WA 98642.

Environmental Elements

Earth

- a. General description of the site:

The site includes existing paved areas in addition to the slopes adjacent to Brezee Creek. Topography ranges from nearly flat to steep.

(Circle one) flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _____

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The steepest slopes within the project area are approximately 15% in a small area that will not be part of the splash pad design. The splash pad area will be level to approximately 2%.

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Clark County GIS shows the soil on the site is HoB.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Clark County GIS shows there are no indications of unstable soils or slopes.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

85 cubic yards (cy) cut and 375 cy of fill. Earthworks are designed to grade a platform for splash pad and surrounding landscape areas.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Because soil will be removed from the site as part of the construction process, a small amount of erosion could occur. The site will be contained with required erosion control BMPs on all sides to reduce the risk of erosion leaving the site.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Approximately 12% of the park area will be impervious after project completion.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

The contractor plans to utilize standard best management practices during the construction process, including a construction entrance, inlet protection, and silt fencing.

Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Construction will entail the operation of various gasoline and diesel fueled equipment. These emissions should not substantially increase emissions over background levels given the current level of traffic in the project area. Dust associated with excavation and grading could occur. The project will not generate pollution once completed.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.
The off-site sources of emissions could come from surrounding roads.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:
None at this time.

Water

Surface Water

- a. Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

The nearest water body to the site is Lake River, which is 0.10 mile away and across railroad tracks from the site. The site is located outside of the rivers buffer and its floodplain. There are no other water bodies in the immediate vicinity of the site.

- b. Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.
The project will not require any work within 200 feet of waterbodies.
- c. Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.
Not applicable. There is no surface water or wetlands on the project site.
- d. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
No surface water withdrawals or diversions are part of the proposed project.

Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.
The site is not located within the 100-year floodplain.

- e. Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.
The proposed project does not involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters.

Ground Water

- a. Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
No groundwater will be withdrawn, and no water will be discharged to groundwater with this proposal.

- b. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals: agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.
None. Water from the project will be collected on site, treated through UV sterilization, and discharged through the Clark Regional system.

Water Runoff (Including Stormwater):

- a. Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.
For any water that reaches outside of the splash pad, there will be a trench drain surrounding the pads that will collect stormwater and will daylight outside the limits of the pads.
- b. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.
There are no known opportunities for waste materials to enter ground or surface waters.
- c. Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.
The project will not affect drainage patterns within the surrounding area.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:
None

Plants

- a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:
- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
 - evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
 - shrubs
 - grass
 - pasture
 - crop or grain
 - Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
 - wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
 - water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
 - other types of vegetation
- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
Vegetation clearing will be required to construct the proposed project. Clearing will entail the removal of grass and landscaped areas.
- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.
Oak Woodland Habitat is known to occur near the site. A critical areas assessment was completed and determined that the project will not have any direct impacts or changes to the existing priority habitat area.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:
Landscaping in accordance with the City of Ridgefield code requirements will be completed.
- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.
There are no invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Animals

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site. Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, **songbirds**, other:

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: **small rodents**

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

Wildlife sighting are limited to common passerine songbirds and small rodents (squirrels).

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.
No ESA listed species are known to inhabit the site or surrounding area.
- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.
The site is part of the Pacific Flyway for migratory birds. The site does not contain seasonal or perennial waterways that could be utilized by migrating waterfowl.
- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:
No measures are proposed at this time.
- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.
No invasive animal species are known to occur within the project area.

Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.
Electric, natural gas and solar are all expected to be used as energy sources by the project.
- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.
No, the site will not affect any adjacent solar energy facilities.
- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:
Proposed construction will be in accordance with all applicable building codes as recognized by Clark County.

Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur because of this proposal? If so, describe.
1. Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
There are no known hazardous materials within the project area.

2. Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.
There are no known hazardous materials within the project area.
3. Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project. Outside of gasoline and diesel that will be used during construction, chlorine tablets will be used to treat the water for the splash pad.
4. Describe special emergency services that might be required.
None.
5. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
Apart from the applicable local, state, and federal regulations, the applicant has not planned any special environmental health conservation features. Standard construction safety measures will be enforced.

Noise

- a. What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?
The project lies within the downtown Ridgefield area, normal city noises from pedestrians, cars, trucks, planes, etc would be heard at the park.
- b. What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.
It will generate short-term noise impacts generally between the hours of 7AM and 7 PM. These noise impacts are not anticipated to be significant off-site impacts. Operation of the project will result in noise generation that is typical of urban parks.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:
Construction activities will only take place during hours allowed by City and County ordinances.

Land and Shoreline Use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.
The project is an existing park. It is surrounded by commercial uses to the north, Port to the west, and park land to the south and east.
- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?
Not to the client's knowledge.

- c. Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:
No effects to surrounding ag lands are anticipated.
- d. Describe any structures on the site.
There are no structures on the property.
- e. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?
No structures will be demolished as part of the project.
- f. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
The current zoning for this project is Park/Open Space (P/OS).
- g. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?
The current zoning for this project is Park/Open Space (P/OS).
- h. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
Not applicable. There is no shoreline within the project area.
- i. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.
Priority Habitat - Oregon White Oak report, prepared by PBS Engineering and Environmental Inc says, "Though the location of the priority habitat area is in the vicinity of the ...project, there is no direct impact or change to the existing priority habitat area."
- j. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
Not applicable.
- k. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
None. The project will not cause any displacements.
- l. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
None. The project will not cause any displacements.
- m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:
The project is compatible with the City's parks plan.
- n. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:
None proposed at this time. Impacts to these land uses are not anticipated.

Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
Not applicable.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
No units would be eliminated.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:
No measures are being proposed.

Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?
Not applicable.
- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?
The views are not expected to be affected within the immediate vicinity
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:
The proposed improvements will enhance the current landscape in the surrounding park. Park features will be approved through the City's review process.

Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
The project would have the required site lighting and would follow City of Ridgefield's dark sky guidelines.
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?
It is not anticipated that the lighting would be a safety hazard.
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?
Normal city center lighting would not adversely affect this project.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:
None proposed.

Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?
Ridgefield Wildlife Reserve is to the west, there is a skate park and Davis Park to the north, Abrams Park to the northeast, and Eagle's View Park to the south.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.
Not to the applicant's knowledge.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:
Not applicable.

Historic and Cultural Preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

There is a historic church directly to the south that will be preserved. The site overlaps with an archeological site first recorded in 2011.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

Multicomponent archaeological site, 45CL981, overlaps with the project area. The site consists of a pre-contact lithic scatter and a historic-period debris scatter. Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc, (AINW), identified the site during a cultural resource survey for the development of Overlook Park in 2011 (Jenkins et al. 2012). AINW recommended the site was eligible for listing in the NRHP. The Washington State Department of Transportation and the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) agreed. A Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) was prepared to address the archaeological deposits prior to construction; one of the stipulations of the MOA was archaeological monitoring during construction. In 2013, AINW monitored construction activities within site 45CL981 (Jenkins and Reese 2014).

In 2023, AINW conducted an archaeological survey of land overlapping and adjacent to Overlook Park purchased by the City of Ridgefield for the current splashpad construction project. As a result, AINW verified that site 45CL981 extends throughout the current project area (Johnson and Williams-Larson 2023). The archaeological study involved a records review, pedestrian survey, and the excavation of eight shovel tests, avoiding the portion of the project area within the previously established boundary of site 45CL981. Pre-contact lithic and historic-period artifacts were found in all eight shovel tests excavated to confirm the site's boundaries. The artifacts were found in disturbed soils, admixed with modern debris and crushed road gravel, as deep as 208 centimeters (cm) (82 inches [in]) below the surface.

Jenkins, Sarah L., John L. Fagan, Judith A. Chapman, and Jo Reese, 2012
Cultural Resource Survey and Archaeological Site Evaluation for the Overlook Park - Ridgefield Welcome Center, Clark County, Washington. Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc. Report No. 2746. Prepared for City of Ridgefield, Ridgefield, Washington.

Jenkins, Sarah L., and Jo Reese, 2014
Archaeological Monitoring During Construction at Site 45CL981, Overlook Park Project, City of Ridgefield, Clark County, Washington. Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc. Report No. 3131. Prepared for City of Ridgefield, Washington.

Johnson, Meghan, and Alexandra Williams-Larson, 2023
Archaeological Survey for the Overlook Park Splashpad Project, Ridgefield, Clark County, Washington. Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc. Report No. 4950. Prepared for City of Ridgefield, Washington.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

AINW previously conducted a cultural resource survey for the Overlook Park project (Jenkins et al. 2013), resulting in the identification of archaeological site 45CL981. Afterwards, AINW conducted testing and evaluation and construction monitoring within the site (Jenkins and Reese 2013; Jenkins and Reese 2014).

During AINW's 2023 archaeological survey, AINW completed a records review of WISAARD, historical maps and documents, ethnographic information, and environmental conditions. AINW's fieldwork included a pedestrian survey and the excavation of eight shovel tests to confirm the boundaries of archaeological site 45CL981 (Johnson and Williams-Larson 2023). This process also included coordination with DAHP and the Cowlitz Indian Tribe. AINW has applied for a DAHP Archaeological Alteration, Excavation, and Monitoring Permit for testing and evaluation, data recovery, and construction monitoring within site 45CL981.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

AINW prepared a DAHP Archaeological Alteration, Excavation, and Monitoring Permit for testing and evaluation, data recovery, and construction monitoring within site 45CL981. This permit application includes a research design for conducting controlled excavations; a monitoring plan; and an inadvertent discovery plan.

Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
Pioneer Street is on the north and S Main Avenue is to the east of the site.
- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
The site is not currently served by C-Tran.
- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?
No additional parking spaces would be added.
- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).
No improvements to existing roads, streets or driveways are proposed as part of this project. There will be a proposed walkway that connects to the splash pad area.
- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
None of the above listed transportation modes occur within the general vicinity.
- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?
Not applicable.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

No, the project will not interfere with agricultural equipment.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

Not applicable.

Public Services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No, the project is not anticipated to require additional need for fire protection, police protection, public transit, schools.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

The completed project would be subject to the required amount of impact fees. The applicant does not propose any additional measures.

Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:

electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Clark Public Utilities will provide the electric. City of Ridgefield will provide the water. Clark Regional is providing the sewer.

Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.



03/02/23

Date